

Topic: Public health ethics

Type of paper: Research Paper

Format or citation style: Chicago, Turabian

Pages: 7pages

Outline

- ❖ Introduction
- ❖ Thesis
- ❖ The Concepts of public health ethics
- ❖ The theories of public health ethics
- ❖ Appropriate theory in public health ethics
- ❖ Arguments for the theory
- ❖ Conclusion

Introduction

Public health is described as an art of preventing diseases and prolonging life thus promoting the health of the society. In undertaking duties of managing public health requires professional ethics in handling patients. The public entails the whole society with different cultures that should be handled with great care for the common benefits. This requires public practitioners to behave in some defined code of conduct. Thus, public health ethics entails the code of conduct and principles that governs the practitioners in their practise of their professional duties and responsibilities. Ethics of public health are the mission of public hospitals to protect and promote health on the context of the virtues of public health practitioners. These ethics guide the practitioners and hold them accountable to any eventuality that may arise in the public health sector. The ethics helps to shade light on the public on their rights regarding services offered in the public hospitals. Some of the examples of ethics are confidentiality of patients' information not being disclosed, education programs by making people aware of infections, handling patients amicably by not abusing them whilst handling them.

Thesis

This paper will focus on the concepts governing the public health ethics. There will be a discussion on the theories of ethics and the best public ethics to apply. The work will base on the appropriate theory to apply and its importance to the society.

The concept of public health

A great compact of bioethical works emphasizes on the way the particular individual can be shielded in the medical perspective, for instance in connection with research. Public health programs, by Contrast, spread beyond the analytic framework and focus on the population level, affecting the Lives of the entire population, or large sections of the population. Many of these measures concentrate on prevention and may have repercussions for those who would not consider themselves unwell.ⁱ Consequently, they raise concerns about the accountabilities and buff of the state and other agents whose guidelines and actions dictate or affect people's lives. Much relies on the type of mediation the situation of those most directly affected by it, and the significance of the jeopardise involved by instigating, or not implementing, an individual program. Our aim in this essay is to develop an ethical framework that recognizes the most critical standards to monitor public policy in this field. ⁱⁱ

There is an intricate linkage of interests, rights and epitomes to put into consideration, and it is not possible to recommend an automatic procedure that would command how these standards should be applied. However, we intend to depict how our ethical framework. Provides a precise methodology to the delicate matters raised by public health guidelines.ⁱⁱⁱ

We begin by shortly going over the duty of the state and then creating a preliminary structure for a public health policy, founded on a substantial classical formation of the state's role. Even though this framework is appropriate to address some of the vital matters arising in the perspective of public health, it also has some limitations.^{iv} We, therefore, work towards a reviewed and extended Description of the original framework, which we call the stewardship strategy.^v This model is established further in the context of policy, and it is then evaluated in light of the subjects.

One question that is vital to our analysis is the affiliation between the states^{vi} Authority and the position of particular people and qualified organizations such as establishments, Schools, and corporations that are governed by its rules. This concern is crucial to political Philosophy and appeals to a broad range of perspectives. There is a synopsis of Salient ethical theories that pursue to define the state-specific relationship.^{vii} We pinpoint these theories along a variety ranging from those that offer priority to the particular individual, to those that focus on the mutual benefits of the people in general. On one end of the continuum is the libertarian perspective, which upholds what are classically considered as the 'natural' freedoms of man: life, liberty, and possessions.^{viii} The authority of the state in this context, rights is restricted to guaranteeing that affiliates of the population can have these Rights without intrusion from others. This fundamentally individualist standpoint allows only a small state. Apart from the capability to shield itself from exterior hostility, the state's actual goings-on encompass only: political factions, which offer imposing Declarations of people's rights; judicial organizations, which define when these rights have.^{ix}

Theories of public health ethics

Been desecrated and correctional institutions to reprimand those who are discovered to have carried out such Desecrations. Further from these establishments, the general state does not see the preferment of the Wellbeing of its inhabitants as its appropriate role; so it affords little sustenance for the formation of public health platforms, excluding for those that are indispensable in a practical way to the Gratification of the rights it acknowledges.^x

On the other side, that makes the spectrum there is what can be referred to as a collectivist point of view. There are numerous forms, and we emphasize here on utilitarian and social contract approaches. In utilitarian customs, the principal aim is to capitalize on utility by

converging on achieving the Best possible mutual advantage. This means that actions or rather rules are commonly valued.^{xi}

By the intensity to which they diminish pain and anguish, and endorse inclusive happiness, welfare, or what can be identified as 'good health.'^{xii}Therefore, in the framework of public health, when selecting from a number of contending intercessions, or programmes, states and policymakers should have to choose for those that are likely to yield the utmost cumulative benefit. For instance, in the scenario of an endemic, a utilitarian tactic would typically favour segregation and confining, although such processes would be likely to result in substantial strains in the libertarian framework illustrated earlier.^{xiii}As this example clearly, one of the motives why utilitarian approaches are contentious is that, in opinion, they may allow the well-being Or rather benefits of some people to be done away with if this were to result in an increase in general Welfare. A substitute collectivist approach is established in social contract theory.^{xiv} Here, the state Authority is built on the collective motivation of a community to live together as a persistent nation state. The rights of individual citizens are reliant upon this collective will of their community, and not a precursor to it. On this View, these rights do not establish a limit on the state's authority to interfere in the lives of Its citizens; in its place, the state's power is appropriately applied in that it realizes the collective Will of the community.^{xv}

There are, of course, some positions in between these ends of the spectrum. The Intermediate positions hold that in spite, it is the state's responsibility to uphold and defend Many fundamental individual rights, it is also the state's duty to be accountable for the welfare of the citizens. These wellbeing considerations may encompass ensuring that all have a fair

Opportunity to make a decent life for themselves and that effort are made to level out unfair inequalities are affecting disadvantaged groups or individuals.^{xvi}

Scenarios of this nature are thought of as unconventional, and they place great emphasis on equal opportunities for citizens, both in the personal and political aspects of life. The liberal agrees with the libertarian that the upholding of people's freedoms and rights constrains the state's authoritative nature.^{xvii} Moreover, the liberal declines the libertarian ideology that real state power is restricted to upholding of These freedoms, and is in agreement with the social contract faction of collectivism that the state Power may adequately be put into action with the aim of advancing the well-being of its citizens. The liberal will consequently decline.^{xviii}

The utilitarian declares that it is acceptable, without much argument, to follow beneficial strategies, even if these significantly influence the liberty of some individuals. hence, for the Liberal state, some interventions to advocate for the interests of the population may be welcomed Without giving a further reason, whereas other interventions require explicit justification, or May simply not be acceptable at all.^{xix} The liberal's insistence on the imperativeness of the people's capability to decide the course of their life mirrors the importance of personal autonomy. It is important to recognize that Self-sufficiency is not just a 'negative' freedom from intrusion.^{xx} Accurately, autonomy describes 'self-governance'. Its comprehension requires, among other things, an acquaintance of the likelihoods Accessible, and the basic aptitudes necessary to take advantage of them. Hence, the liberal State ascribes great prominence to the universal endowment of education. It is content to establish policies that make education compulsory while acknowledging that this violation of personal freedom might not be an option for quite a significant number of libertarians.^{xxi} These infringements are seen like the right thing since they help people to develop essential abilities that enable them to make full Use of the opportunities presents in a society that values equality of opportunity. Recognizing autonomy requires, in addition to universal provision of education,

other Policies that enable individuals to make their way in the world and chase their Personal ambitions. ^{xxii}

While the state cannot adequately guarantee the latter, a liberal state will seek to enhance it through policies aimed, for example, at minimizing ill health, since this is important. The obstacle to the achievement of independence and personal autonomy. A reasonable level of health is regarded to be an essential component of a comfortable Life. ^{xxiii}The World Health Organization defines health as "a state of absolute Mental and physical fitness and not just the absence of disease or infirmity although this may be too wide-ranging as hardly anyone might be considered fully healthy under the Definition, WHO's concept does illustrate that assessments of well-being can include value judgments. This means there may be disagreement about the justification of particular Policies. States should, hence, be careful about imposing paradigms of health on those who might decline them, particularly when it might entail some intrusion into their personal life. ^{xxiv}

Arguments for the theories

Communitarian takes in to account the well-being of the society. Utilitarian and liberal ignore the public sector ethics dimensions. Taking into account all the theories have advantages and disadvantages. The consideration will be communitarian that advantages outweighs the disadvantages. The public domain has different cultures beliefs and norms that should be taken into account when deciding the type of theory to incorporate. The communitarian takes the dimension of the society values thus being appropriate. It brings the concept of personalise that is based on common share of values in ethical matters. It brings the issue of self-respect of individual. This will set the confidentiality of information in handling of public health matters. There is emphasis on matters of protecting the weak in the society. The public sector has rights and freedoms that should be protected for the common goal of the

society.^{xxv}The theories to apply should take into consideration for the limits of behaviours of practitioners and the public. The issue of individualism should be addressed to shape the social morality of people. The public practitioners have a moral responsibility to uphold their professional ethics. Communitarian articulates this well laying down procedural guideline and discipline to be adhered to. It negates the individual perspective of well being. the above ethics prolongs the discussion of moral values in the public sector. For the betterment of the society and public communitarian, theory should be applied. Later on it should be transformed to suite all society dimensions. Finally the theory should address the issues of multi norms in the community to auger the people together. This will ensure common goal achievement in the public sectors ethics.^{xxvi}

Conclusion

Given this, liberal states will see it as their responsibility to provide Frameworks that decline the risks its citizens present in each other's state of health, but also to advocate for the health of those, such as young children, who cannot take full accountability for themselves.^{xxvii}Most Western states are liberal under this group, and the question is how far it is proper for the state to put in place programs that meddle to different degrees in lives of its population, to reduce the risks to the health of all or some of them. This goes out to show the antagonism inherent in the liberal state, as a Political community that seeks to both protect personal autonomy and promote the welfare of all people.^{xxviii}

BIBLIOGRAPHIES

Theodore C. Bailey, Maria W. Merritt, Fabrizio Tediosi. (2015) Investing in Justice: Ethics, Evidence, and the Eradication Investment Cases for Lymphatic Filariasis and Onchocerciasis. *American Journal of Public Health* 105:4, 629-636.

Paul J. Fleming, Joseph G. L. Lee, Shari L. Dworkin. (2014) "Real Men Don't": Constructions of Masculinity and Inadvertent Harm in Public Health Interventions. *American Journal of Public Health* 104:6, 1029-1035.

Nancy Kass, Kenneth Hecht, Amy Paul, Kerry Birnbach. (2014) Ethics and Obesity Prevention: Ethical Considerations in 3 Approaches to Reducing Consumption of Sugar-Sweetened Beverages. *American Journal of Public Health* 104:5, 787-795.

Lisa Mikesell, Elizabeth Bromley, Dmitry Khodyakov. (2013) Ethical Community-Engaged Research: A Literature Review. *American Journal of Public Health* 103:12, e7-e14.

Kathleen E. Bachynski. (2012) Playing Hockey, Riding Motorcycles, and the Ethics of Protection. *American Journal of Public Health* 102:12, 2214-2220.

Lisa M. Lee, Charles M. Heilig, Angela White. (2012) Ethical Justification for Conducting Public Health Surveillance Without Patient Consent. *American Journal of Public Health* 102:1, 38-44.

Barbara von Tigerstrom, Tamara Larre, JoAnne Sauder. (2011) Using the Tax System to Promote Physical Activity: Critical Analysis of Canadian Initiatives. *American Journal of Public Health* 101:8, e10-e16.

Carlos F. Cáceres, Walter Mendoza. (2009) Globalized Research and "National Science": The Case of Peru. *American Journal of Public Health* 99:10, 1792-1798.

Steven S. Coughlin . 2009. Chapter 3 How Many Principles for Public Health Ethics?. Ethics in Epidemiology and Public Health Practice.

Fabrizio Turollo. (2009) Responsibility as an Ethical Framework for Public Health Interventions. American Journal of Public Health 99:7, 1197-1202.

Gloria L. Krahn , Brian Ritacco . 2009. Chapter 11 Public Health as a Change Agent for Disability. Disability and Public Health.

Grant Higginson , Bonnie Widerburg . 2009. Chapter 2 A Brief History of Public Health. Disability and Public Health.

Nancy M. Baum, Sarah E. Gollust, Susan D. Goold, Peter D. Jacobson. (2009) Ethical Issues in Public Health Practice in Michigan. American

Fiona Alice Miller, Jason Scott Robert, Robin Z. Hayeems. (2009) Questioning the Consensus: Managing Carrier Status Results Generated by Newborn Screening. American Journal of Public Health 99:2, 210-215.

David R. Buchanan. (2008) Autonomy, Paternalism, and Justice: Ethical Priorities in Public Health. American Journal of Public Health 98:1, 15-21.

Enrique Regidor, Luis de la Fuente, Juan L. Gutiérrez-Fisac, Salvador de Mateo, Cruz Pascual, José Sánchez-Payá, Elena Ronda. (2007) The Role of the Public Health Official in Communicating Public Health Information. American Journal of Public Health 97:Supplement_1, S93-S97.

Cécile M. Bensimon, Ross E.G. Upshur. (2007) Evidence and Effectiveness in Decisionmaking for Quarantine. American Journal of Public Health 97:Supplement_1, S44-S48.

James C. Thomas, Debra E. Irwin, Erin Shaugnessy Zuiker, Robert C. Millikan. (2005) Genomics and the Public Health Code of Ethics. *American Journal of Public Health* 95:12, 2139-2143.

James C. Thomas, Michael Sage, Jack Dillenberg, V. James Guillory. (2002) A Code of Ethics for Public Health. *American Journal of Public Health* 92:7, 1057-1059.

Patrick L. Kinney. (2002) KINNEY RESPONDS. *American Journal of Public Health* 92:5, 697-a-698.

Nancy E. Kass. (2002) KASS RESPONDS. *American Journal of Public Health* 92:5, 698-a-699.

Sofia Gruskin. (2002) ETHICS, HUMAN RIGHTS, AND PUBLIC HEALTH. *American Journal of Public Health* 92:5, 698-698.

Betty Wolder Levin, Alan R. Fleischman. (2002) Public Health and Bioethics: The Benefits of Collaboration. *American Journal of Public Health* 92:2, 165-167.

ⁱPaul j,2014,1030

ⁱⁱKathleen E 2012,2214

ⁱⁱⁱFiona,2009,216

^{iv}Betty ,2002,166

^vSteven s,2009

^{vi}Lisa,m,2012,39

^{vii}Patrick L,2002,698

^{viii}Fiona,2009,215

^{ix}Nacy E,2002,698

^xJames c,2002,1058

^{xi}Barbara,2011,e17

^{xii}David r,2008,17

^{xiii}Fabrizio,2009,1199

^{xiv}Sofia,2002,699

^{xv}James,2005,2140

^{xvi}James,2005,2139

^{xvii}Grant ,2009

^{xviii}Betty,2002,166

^{xix}James C,2005,2140

^{xx}Lisa,2013,e8

^{xxi}Carlos F,2009,1795

^{xxii}Cecile,2007,208

^{xxiii}Gloria ,2009

^{xxiv}Enrique,2007,95

^{xxv}Patrick I,2002,698

^{xxvi}Lisa m2013,e10

^{xxvii}Cecile,2007,S48

^{xxviii}Kathleen,2012,2219